

Evaluation of the Nature of Armed Violence and Security Intervention in Benue State, Nigeria

¹Iornumbe, D.A. PhD, ²Liman Abdullahi Nuhu, ³Andrew Zamani

^{1,2,3}Institute of Governance and Development Studies, Nasarawa State University, Keffi, Nigeria

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Keywords:

Evaluation, Armed
conflict/violence, Security
agencies, Intervention,
Governments, Benue
Communities

This article evaluates the nature of armed violence and security intervention in Benue State, Nigeria. Benue State has experienced a surge in armed violence in recent years, leading to a significant threat to human security, peace, and development. Although all levels of government have taken a number of strategically significant steps to end the violence/conflict, including the deployment of security agencies to coordinate in a way that could result in a joint force operation to end the conflict and restore peace and stability. The armed violence in Benue State continues to be a major source of concern for the local and international community, as well as an existential threat to the indigenous population. However, the results of the security intervention are tainted with conflicting reports of success and numerous obstacles that outweigh the successes attained. Based on the extensive literature review, it is clear that the security agencies had a great deal of success in combating the armed conflict's perpetrators; however, much remains to be desired because the situation in Benue State is getting worse despite the military and other security agencies' continued presence. The study reveals that the armed violence is complex and multifaceted, perpetrated by various groups, including herders, farmers, and militant groups. The security intervention measures implemented by the Nigerian government have been inadequate and ineffective, and have been criticized for being partisan and biased. With an unwavering commitment to ending the war, the government and key stakeholders must work together to develop more innovative methods and strategies for implementation. This article recommends the establishment of an independent commission to investigate the armed violence, the establishment of a trust fund to support victims, and the reform of the security agencies to ensure impartiality and effectiveness. The research used secondary data. Frustration aggression and system theories were used.

E-mail:

dominiciornumbe@gmail.com

Copyright © 2023

Jurnal Restorasi : Hukum dan Politik

All Right reserved id Licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License \(CC BY-NC 4.0\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

INTRODUCTION

Armed violence has become a pervasive feature of modern society, with devastating consequences for human security, peace, and development (Geneva Declaration

Evaluation of the Nature of Armed Violence and Security Intervention in Benue State, Nigeria –

Iornumbe, D.A.PhD et al

Secretariat, 2015). In Nigeria, the phenomenon of armed violence has assumed a complex and multifaceted dimension, with various groups, including herders, farmers, and militant groups, engaging in violent conflicts over land, resources, and identity (Harnischfeger, 2008). Benue State, located in the North-Central region of Nigeria, has been particularly affected by armed violence, with the state experiencing a surge in violent conflicts in recent years (Last, 2008). The violent clash between farmers and herdsmen is recognizable as the peak of the armed conflict in Benue State. The conflict has escalated over time, reaching peaks in 2014 and 2018. The ongoing episodes of intermittent clashes have consistently resulted in mass killings of the state's population, displacement of people, and destruction of property, which have gotten worse over time despite concerted government efforts to control and end the conflict. . In 2017, the Benue State government passed the well-known anti-grazing laws with the caption, "A Law to Prohibit Open Rearing and Grazing of Livestock and Provide for the Establishment of Ranches and Livestock Administration, Regulation and Control and for Other Matters Connected Therewith," in an attempt to put an end to the violence between herders and farmers. However, the laws' implementation has been utterly futile.

The security intervention measures implemented by the Nigerian government to address the armed violence in Benue State have been inadequate and ineffective, and have been criticized for being partisan and biased (Abrahamsen & Williams, 2005). The lack of trust between the security agencies and the local population has further exacerbated the violence, with many residents of Benue State viewing the security agencies as partisan and biased (Amnesty International, 2002). There is still a lot to be desired, though. In actuality, one of the main reasons why the farmers-herdsmen conflict in Nigeria continues to rage with frequent reports of murders and property damage is the absence of political will to truly and methodically carry out all of the plans and strategies that have been adopted to end this situation. Given its detrimental effects on the socioeconomic and political landscape of the state and the country as a whole, the Benue State crisis is one that all parties involved in the nation's security system should take seriously.

This study aims to evaluate the nature of armed violence and security intervention in Benue State, Nigeria. The study will examine the root causes of the armed violence, the impact of the violence on human security, peace, and development, and the effectiveness of the security intervention measures implemented by the Nigerian government.

1.2 Research questions

1. What is the nature of armed violence in Benue state Nigeria?
2. What are the consequences of the armed conflict situation on the peace, security, growth, and development of Benue State?

1.3 Objectives of the Paper

1. To examine the nature of armed violence in Benue state
2. To assess the consequences of the armed conflict situation on the peace, security, growth, and development of Benue State.

2.0 Review of Literature

2.1 The Concept of Security

According to Ewetan (2004) Security is about the protection and preservation from fear and danger that threatens the survival of Nigeria societies and peoples. Security in Nigeria is about survival and the conditions of human existence. It is about peace,

development, and justice because the absence of all these creates the conditions for conflict and insecurity. These factors have been divided into two categories: internal and external. In addition to the external-internal dichotomy, sources of insecurity have been categorized as immediate or local and remote causes. In Nigeria, the challenge is not so much about insecurity of external sources, but rather that of internal sources. We recognize that some internal factors have been enhanced and strengthened by the presence of external forces, but there is no doubt that, if the internal situations did not present themselves, the external forces would be unable to infiltrate. Security is a totality of strategies or actions aims at ensuring safety of lives and properties of citizens.

2.2-Armed Conflict

Armed conflict has been exacerbated and even intensified by violent actors with the tools which enable them to resolve conflicts violently through maiming and killing of innocent lives and also improve their socio-economic position by engaging in criminal activities. Violence in Nigeria is caused by some factors. They include: scarce economic resources; politics and elections; the shift to democratic governance; the rise of armed groups; oil; ethnicity and religion; and poverty. This struggle for resources has led to a broad sense of insecurity, opportunism, and the pursuit of self-help strategies across the country. (Hazen & Horner, 2007). According to Nnoli (2003), armed conflict and its multi-dimensional consequences has been an obstacle to progress, political stability, economic prosperity and overall economic development of Nigeria. Violence provoked by conflicts, has often turned the people's intention from creative production to creative destruction. Violence according to World Health Organization means the intentional use of physical force or power, threatened or actual, against oneself, another person, or against a group or community that either results in or has a high likelihood of resulting in injury, death, psychological harm, mal-development and deprivation (WHO, 2002). Armed violence in Nigeria has been a matter of great concern as the country is characterized by a lot of violent crimes and conflicts.

On that note, Muggah (2001) has observed that the nature of armed violence tends to vary according to situational variables such as normative and behavioural culture, gender and demographics. This is particularly true for Nigeria considering the variations and frequency of armed communal, ethnic, religious, environmental and political conflicts that ravage the country. Again, Hazen and Horner (2007) have also pointed out that:

While armed conflict is not a rare occurrence in Nigeria, it is important note that the country should not, and cannot, be viewed as homogenous in terms of criminality or security. The frequency and nature of crime vary across the country. The type of perpetrator involved depends on the context, as do the tools used in committing armed conflict.

2.3 The nature of armed Violence in Benue state

Benue State has a history of armed conflict that includes herder-farmer-clashes, military operations, militia and other violent incidents. The height of the armed conflict in Benue

State is recognizably the Fulani herdsmen and farmers violent engagement. Historically, the dispute between the Fulani Herdsmen and indigenous farmers of Benue is said to have started in the early 1800s (Yaro & Tseer, 2019). The conflict has worsened over time, with peaks in 2014 and 2018. Invariably, the ceaseless incidents of episodic skirmishes caused the mass killings of the state inhabitants growing progressively worse all these years. There are 23 local government areas in Benue, and many of them have been taken over by the herdsmen. Concerted government efforts to control and bring to an end this conflict have been yielding no desired result. The Nigerian government has failed in its duty to stop or limit the proliferation of small arms and its attendant armed violence thereby violating the principle of due diligence. Frey (2004) pointed out that states would also be held accountable for patterns of abuses committed by armed individuals or groups if a state failed to act with due diligence to prevent the abuses. Examples of failure to act with due diligence include the state's refusal to establish reasonable regulations regarding the private possession of small arms that are likely to be used in homicides, suicides and accidents; its failure to protect individuals from pattern of domestic violence caused with small arms; and its failure to protect individuals from organized crimes include (sic) kidnapping and killing for ransom. Due diligence 'results from more than mere negligence on the part of state officials.it consists of the reasonable measures of prevention that a well-administered government could be expected to exercise under similar circumstances' (Shelton, 1990). In a research conducted by Small Arms Survey, about 50% of all recorded incidents of armed violence in There is also the theorization about cattle rustling as a factor fueling the intractable farmers-herders conflict (Yaro & Tseer, 2019). Apparently, the general view has been that the initial precipitating cause of the herders-famers violence is from the competition for land space for cattle grazing and crops farming. But, the conflict has over the past 10 years, evolved into a complex situation marked by ethnic and religious divisions, fueled by the emergence of local militias with in Benue State and/or middle-belt region.

2.4 The Impact of Small Arms on Armed conflict in Nigeria

The violence ridden country of Nigeria is a sad showcase of the insecurity, human rights and humanitarian costs of the uncontrolled proliferation of small arms. Quantities of arms have circulated the country despite the instruments, laws and prohibitions against their possession and use. This has given rise to the rampant misuse of such weapons by state and non-state actors alike. The widespread availability of small arms to abusive actors in Nigeria as elsewhere greatly contributes to armed violence coupled with further atrocities and also makes peace harder to achieve. The most direct impact of small arms and armed violence is the sheer number of deaths and injuries incurred by their misuse and application respectively in Nigeria state.

The deaths and injuries resulting from firearms have been simultaneously described by different researchers as a 'scourge', an 'epidemic', a 'disease' and a 'preventable global health problem' (Small Arms Survey, 2001:214). Armed conflicts in Nigeria have caused unprecedented deaths and injuries ranging from hundreds to thousands in number. Examples of such conflicts are the Aguleri-Umleri, Ife- Modakeke, Tiv-Jukun, Ugep-Idomi, Oku Iboko-Ikot Offiong, Mangu-Bokkos and Igbo Ora conflicts. Others are the Kano and Kaduna religious riots, the Niger Delta, Zango Kataf, Tafawa

Balewa and Jos crises, and most recently, the Boko Haram insurgency. On that same note, crime rates have been exacerbated by the increase in availability and proliferation of small arms throughout the country. Also, crime, especially armed robbery, is a primary concern of citizens in Nigeria. Furthermore, the right to life is guaranteed under the Nigerian constitution and also international law, both in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR), Article III, and the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), Article IV. The right to life is also guaranteed in International humanitarian law. Nigeria is bound under various international treaties and *jus cogens* of international human rights to protect these rights (Chuma-Okoro, 2001:293).

Besides their misuse in the violation of people's right to life, armed conflict have been used for other nefarious purposes. Frey (2004:380) has noted that: arms facilitate an entire range of human rights violations, including rape, enforced disappearance, torture, forced displacement, and forced recruitment of child soldiers. Communities that suffer from an atmosphere of armed criminal or political violence may suffer a wide range of violations to their international rights to education, healthcare, development and their right to participate in government - to name a few. Clearly, the above is prevalent in Nigeria as it has already been observed by Anyim (2012), using the Jos experience. The gender perspective of the impact of small arms and armed violence on Nigeria is quite expository. Small Arms Survey (2001) noted that: Consideration of gender is particularly relevant in the discussion of direct effects since a disproportionately large percentage of both aggressors and victims (during conflict or peace) are men and yet women are explicit targets of certain types of armed violence - simply because they are women. Some forms of violence like - domestic abuse, sexual assault, and rape are uniquely conditioned for gender based relations, which means that understanding their dynamics is critical for effective intervention.

Interestingly, Anyim (2012) noted again that during the Benue crises, the fear of sexual harassment forced women into forming alliances with soldiers and militia as a means of safety and escape. Consequently, there was increase in unwanted pregnancies and sexually transmitted diseases. He however pointed out that women and children were not only victims in the crises but that they also participated in the killings in Benue. The socio-economic impact is also enormous. Small Arms Survey (2001) noted that: violence creates an atmosphere of anxiety, with negative multiplier effects that erodes the human, economic, and social capital of communities. Widespread armed violence inflicts various social and economic costs on individuals and states, including medical treatment and rehabilitation; destruction of physical infrastructure; internal displacements of persons; refugee flows; losses in productivity and foreign investment; general disruption of economic, social, civic and political activities. At the macroeconomic level, small arms proliferation and armed violence discourage foreign investment, as well as domestic savings, as people lose confidence in a country's prospects for growth.

Armed conflict, crime and domestic violence also damage prospects for economic development, affecting school enrolment rates and overall productivity. Furthermore, armed conflict and crime impose significant constraints on the ability of affected

countries to implement national development programmes. On the one hand, national resources are diverted away from social welfare for purchase of arms to protect the security of civilians. On the other hand, vital infrastructure needed for development initiatives is put in jeopardy by arms-related anxieties (Small Arms Survey, 2001). In the 2012 of the Nigerian Federal Government, N 921 billion (USD 5.5 billion - almost 20 percent of the country's whole budget) was allocated to security spending (Owen, 2012). This might seem necessary but the fact still remains that there is a clear accent on procurement rather than on human resources development or structural or procedural changes that might make security institutions more effective.

Benue State has, for the past two decades walloped in violent aggression due to the herders-farmers confrontation. The unpleasant experience from this unpredictable no-end-insight of this conflict phenomenon cannot be overemphasize. Sadly, it is a reality that in all violent confrontation between groups where sophisticated arms are illegally sourced and used for the aggression, much is always lost such as killing of people and destruction of properties (Okoli & Atelhe, 2014). Ikpanor and Aondoaver (2021) in their study report, posited that over 5,000 people, including children and pregnant women, were killed, and tens of thousands were forced to leave their ancestral homes. The armed conflict dominated by the herders-farmer violent engagements which has been occurring unabated over the past decades is major existential threat security and peaceful coexistence of the people.

By any reason whatsoever, and the source of the conflict, the fact remains that the armed conflict situation particularly the Fulani herdsmen and farmers of various ethnic groups in Benue, has induced poverty, hunger and deliberate subjection of the people to homelessness in their land. This is because armed conflict has forced the expulsion of the inhabitants of the communities in many of the local government areas in the Benue State where the violent groups have occupied (Yaro & Tseer, 2019, Mohammed *et al.*, 2021). The unwanted displacement of people has induced the loss of agricultural, socioeconomic or commercial, educational activities as well as impinging development. Furthermore, this has made criminal acts, such as banditry and armed robbery, easier. It also accounts for the numerous ethno-religious clashes that has become a basic characteristic of Nigeria's weakness (Faror2021). These attacks are by armed groups which sometimes, happened at night or even during the daytime, depending on the attackers' calculations.

2.5 Security Agencies Intervention in the Armed Conflict in Benue State

The escalation of violence in Northeast and Middle Belt Nigeria necessitated the deployment of a heightened security presence across the region. Through the initiation and development policies and strategies to arrest the armed conflict situation in Benue State, during leadership of the then President Goodluck Jonathan (2010-2015). Due to the absence of a Comprehensive National Security Policy and Strategy document for the country (Nigeria Stability and Reconciliation Programme (NSRP), (2015), launched a framework for a holistic and more coordinated approach and response to security concerns in the country called the National Security Strategy (NSS) Jatau (2017). The NSS identified major security issues and assigned roles and responsibilities to the

government, civil society, private agencies and individuals in addressing these issues, including counterterrorism and cyber-security strategies.

Considering the birth of the National security strategy document as a stimulus to coordinated approach and response to addressing the issues of rising armed conflict in Nigeria, it is imperative to discuss the security intervention with a supporting theory. This is to ensure that quest to examine the impact of security agencies intervention in the armed conflict situation in Benue State comprehensively explained and understood. Doing so therefore, Galula (1964) Counter-insurgency theory is found to be suitable to align the aim of the paper. The theory propounded by French war veteran, David Galula, rest on belief that, success in fighting armed conflict there will be need for combination of military and social actions under strong control of a single authority (Counter-Insurgency, 2018). Galula further emphasized that the development and success of counter-insurgency campaign should be hinged on the heart of the “political machine” and effectively coordinated efforts to achieve the intended outcome. This coordination can be massively achieved through committees and integrated military-civilian hierarchies (Itumo & Nwobashi, 2016). According to Galula, a victory in a counterinsurgency is not the destruction in a given area of the insurgent's forces and his political organization. But it is the permanent isolation of the insurgent from the population.

The invention of this security strategy document led to the evolvement of Joint security operation which is a collaboration among the military, police, and department of state security services, Nigeria security and civil defense for purpose coordinating intervention to curb the progressive and worsening violence in all the Nigeria States including Benue. These joint operations was meant to enhance coordination and resource sharing, leading to more effective control efforts. Invariably, in response to this idea, the Federal Government in February 2018 established its first joint security taskforce codenamed Operation Ayem Akpatuma with the mandate to tackle the rising wave of armed herdsmen attacks, farmers-herders clashes, cattle rustling, kidnapping and armed banditry by local militia (Ogunlaye, 2018; ICG, 2018).

The joint force operation claimed to have recorded some successes in the few security operations it undertook within the first three months of its lifespan. In May 2018, the taskforce was disbanded, and in its place, another sister security taskforce named Operation Whirl Stroke (OPWS) was established with the same mandate (Olowolagba, 2019; Owodage, 2018; ICG, 2018). Worthy of note also is that these two security task forces comprised personnel of the Nigerian military (Army, Air force, and Navy), the Nigeria Police Force, DSS, and NSCDC), with a military general as its commander/head. From the 32 security offensive operations it undertook within the first year (2018) of it's existence, Olowolagba (2022) reported that Operation Whirl Stroke (OPWS) has so far launched successful attacks in over 130 security parades against armed herdsmen, militia gangs, suspected bandits, and kidnapers in parts of Benue State as at December 2021. In addition to the regular Nigeria Police Force, the DSS, State Government's Livestock Guards, and the various local community vigilante groups that are charged with the

mandate of maintaining internal security across Benue State, there are, of course, a plethora of ancillary security outfits charged with such similar mandate whose presence are visible in the state. These ancillary security agencies that are expected to play complementary role to that played by the Nigerian police and DSS, among others include the following: the Nigeria Correctional Service (NCS), the Federal Road Safety Commission (FRSC), National Drug Law Enforcement Agency (NDLEA), the Economic and Financial Crimes Commission (EFCC), Vehicle Inspection Office (VIO), Independent Corrupt Practices and other related Offences Commission (ICPC), Nigeria Customs Service (NCS), Nigerian Immigration Service (NIS), the Code of Conduct Bureau (CCB), the National Agency for the Prohibition of Trafficking in Persons (NAPTIP), and the Nigeria Security and Civil Defense Corp (NSCDC), among others.

The general expectations was that these security agencies would collaborate or work in synergistic cooperation among themselves both as autonomous bodies and in their joint security operations against threats to public safety and internal security in in Benue state and Nigeria as whole (Gbanite 2010; Odoma, 2012; Alemika, 2003; Omogui, 2006; Marizu, 2007). This view was that, in a situation whereby the various security agencies in Nigeria play their roles well, especially in mutual collaboration, cooperation or synergy with one another and amongst themselves as widely envisaged by society and the constitution, the increasing wave of violent crimes and other threats to internal security would have been drastically eliminated. The approach to intervention in the armed conflict revolves around two major strategies and these include the kinetic and non-kinetic methods.

Basically, the joint force operation involving the military and other force organizations have the expertise use variety of tools that they can use to win a war, using both kinetic and non-kinetic. Defining these terms is not always straight forward, particularly non-kinetic, as it sometimes seems to be the category for “everything else”. Kinetic can be briefly defined as those capabilities focused on “physical force and systems that immediately support the use of physical force”, and non-kinetic as those capabilities “relevant to irregular warfare” (Hurley *et al.*, 2009). Besides, the federal government and State apart from the releasing the force organization act appropriately to control the armed conflict, employed many approaches that focused on dialogue to complement the forces efforts.

2.6 Nature/Outcome of the Security Agencies Intervention

According to Amnesty International (2018) report, the Nigerian Federal Government, State Governments, the Nigeria Police Force and the Nigerian Army are the secondary actors of the conflict. Actually, at some level of the security intervention success was acclaimed to have been recorded by joint force operations. These successes saw the arrest and neutralizing of perpetrators or members of the various armed groups (Gabri, 2024). In a series of recently conducted operations, troops of the Nigerian Army have successfully quelled the nefarious activities of notorious kidnappers and rescued their hostages in Benue State. Acting on actionable intelligence, troops raided a notorious kidnap syndicate hideout in Sankara, Ukum Local Government Area, resulting in the neutralization of three terrorists and the rescue of two hostages. The operation also led to the recovery of one AK-47 rifle, one locally fabricated gun, assorted mobile phones, a camouflage uniform, and one motorbike (Gabri, 2024).

In another significant operation, following an airstrike by the Nigerian Air Force on the hideout of a militia kingpin known as “Full Fire” on 29 May 2024, troops conducted an exploitation mission to the target camp. The operation resulted in the recovery of four Baofeng radios with six chargers, one laptop, two itel phones, three Samsung tablets, and 22 SIM cards of various networks. Additionally, two Moniepoint POS machines, numerous phone batteries, one HP hard drive, various family pictures, one burnt AK-49 rifle, a magazine containing 11 rounds of ammunition, and a pen containing 30 cows were seized (Igwe, 2024). However, there are ample evidence in the literature of researchers that says the contrary. For example, rather than cooperate or collaborate among themselves, particularly in their joint security operations, the various security agencies in deployed for intervention were found engaged in an orgy of unhealthy rivalry, inordinate superiority rivalry, mutual suspicion and distrust, muscle-flexing, power play, and grandstanding (Odoma, 2014; Omogui, 2006, Gbanite, 2001; Mbumega, & Anaele, 2019; Eme, 2020).

Apparently, these operations have improved coordination among security agencies, leading to more successful raids and operations. Yet, occasional inter-agency rivalry and lack of unified command can reduce overall effectiveness. The security forces deployed to these areas have a mandate to maintain peace, their officers have been implicated in widespread human rights abuses against civilians consequently eroding public confidence in the security sector and alienating security forces from the citizens they are charged to protect, thus thwarting the effectiveness of their mandate (Impactometrics International, 2022). This has culminated into the failure of executing their expected coordinating responsibility to achieve the desired outcome. In the light of this, the effectiveness of security agencies in Benue State has been mixed, with reported successes and challenges.

The security agencies failure led to many unusual and unfortunate incidence. For example, not long ago, on 30th May, 2024 Lieutenant Bot Elisha and 10 other passengers who were kidnapped traveling on board a Peace Mass Transit Bus from Abuja to Port Harcourt by armed, though they were later rescued. This was aptly captured in an interview with military personnel in Makurdi. Many joint force members were killed at different organized surprised attacks by these gunmen. The security forces were found unable to prevent the clashes most of the times. Some evidence and witness testimonies show that some officers are corrupt, and they cooperate with the attackers. Instead of being a trustworthy organ of the state and protect their citizens, the security forces in Nigeria disrupt the current situation. In a related development, troops on a search and rescue operation have successfully extricated from Kidnappers’ den, Warrant Officer Ogbonna Charles, a serving soldier of the Nigerian Army, who was abducted in the Goh Area of Gwer West Local Government Area of Benue state. The soldier, who was abducted on 25 May 2024, in Naka, is currently undergoing medical checks following his rescue.



Figure 1. The Study Area showing zones of armed conflict
Source: Ministry of Land and Survey, Makurdi

2.7 THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

2.7.1 Frustration and Aggression Theory

The origin of Frustration and Aggression theory can be linked to the publication of Dollard *et al.*, (1939) and Zillmann (1979) in which they presented what is now popularly called the frustration-aggression hypothesis (F-A) Dollard, *et al.*, (1939). According to them, the central thesis of Frustration and aggression theory is that aggression is always a function of frustration and the occurrences of aggressive behaviour always presuppose the existence of frustration. They further defined aggression as any behaviour which is intended to injure, cause harm, pain to the individual to whom it is directed. According to Zillmann (1979), the major tenets of the theory are;

- a. frustration instigates behaviour that may or may not be hostile or aggressive;
- b. And any hostile or aggressive behaviour that occurs is caused by frustration.

The theoretical significance of this theory is its ability to concisely explain the reasons for violent conflict between the farmers and herdsmen. Environmental conflict from the perspective of this theory is a product of the frustration of herdsmen as a result of severe

ecological changes and Boko Haram incessant attacks in their home state with the resultant effects such as the death of many cattle which are their most treasured possession, cattle rustling and migration to the south. All of which makes the herdsmen put on aggressive behaviour which is a derivative of the previous frustration they have encountered as a result of grazing in the face of adverse weather condition, scarcity of environmental resources and security threats from Boko Haram attacks. Therefore, the destruction of farm crops, properties, houses and massive death toll that perpetually fill up the front-page of the national dailies are the product of aggression that evolves from frustration and sense of deep loss on the side of the herdsmen.

Frustration Aggression Theory is adopted as the major theory because it explains the reason for conflict. When individual expectation is not met, it can easily be frustrated and once he is frustrated, he will exhibit hostile behaviour which will lead to aggression hence result to conflict which is the situation between farmers and herders in different communities in Benue State.

3. Data Collection

The data primarily used to achieve the objective of this paper were obtained from secondary sources. Secondary sources data are published work of researchers who took their time to do painstaking research on the situation of armed conflict in Benue State found in text-books and journal articles, newspapers, magazines and official documents found online found online and in libraries.

4. Conclusion

The issues of armed violence have been a source of great security concern in Nigeria. This paper has therefore provided an analysis of the causes, impact attempt at curbing and challenges of insecurity in Benue state, Nigeria, thereby linking it with its attendant armed violence that is characterized in violent conflicts and criminality. The paper has also explored the dynamics of armed violence in Nigeria and showed that armed groups, criminal gangs and even some bad elements within security operatives are highly involved in the perpetuation of violence on even innocent citizens.

It is however pertinent to note that inasmuch as there is a link between small arms availability or proliferation and armed violence, small arms or their proliferation is not the cause of violent conflicts in Nigeria. Moreso, it is not also the cause of armed robbery and other crimes. Socio-economic factors like poverty, underdevelopment, unemployment, corruption, bad leadership and also the structural or root causes of violence need to be addressed.

There is therefore no doubt that small arms availability and proliferation in Nigeria has helped to increase the intensity of violent conflicts, crime and security operatives' brutality. The government has a prime responsibility to nib the issues of small arms and armed violence in the bud. It should tackle socio-economic factors that give rise to violence and also ensure that there is effective and well-enforced legislation and policy that guide firearms use; improved border controls; training of security personnel; cooperation between armed forces and security forces; cooperation with neighbouring countries; and increase its focus on human security. Until these are done, small arms and light weapons will continue to be a challenge for Nigerians for many years to come.

Recommendations

Evaluation of the Nature of Armed Violence and Security Intervention in Benue State, Nigeria –

Iornumbe, D.A.PhD et al

- The Federal Government should re-organize the country's intelligence system and build a capable and more proactive security apparatus in country, so as to checkmate the incessant bombings, robbery, kidnapping and violent crimes/crises by hoodlums all over the country.
- The government should include Peace Studies and Security Management in school curriculum at primary, secondary and tertiary levels in Nigeria. This will enable Nigerian youths to appreciate the importance of peace and security in a secular state like Nigeria.
- There is the need for collective security arrangement by Federal, State and Local Governments in Nigeria. This arrangement should produce a committee at village, community, local, state and federal level with the responsibility of providing sensitive security information for security agencies on areas of operation, for easy identification of criminals, their sponsors and hideouts in Nigeria.
- The Federal Government should formulate and effectively implement policies and programmes capable of addressing the root causes of insecurity in Nigeria such as poverty, unemployment, environmental degradation, the dearth of infrastructural facilities, uneven development, among others.

The Government should be more proactive in the implementation and provision of infrastructure designed for farmers and herders' resource use to avoid a situation where these incessant upheavals would affect agricultural production and food security of the nation

REFERENCES

- Abrahamsen, R., & Williams, M. (2005). The globalization of private security: Country Report: Nigeria. Dept of International Politics, University of Wales, Aberystwyth.
- Alemika, E. E. A. (2003). Police accountability in Nigeria: Framework and Limitations. In E.E.A. Alemike and T.C. Chukwuma (Eds.) *Civilian oversight and accountability of Police in Nigeria* (pp. 45-48). Lagos: Center for Law Enforcement Education, Nigeria(CLEEN).
- Amnesty International. (2018). *Harvest of Death: Three Years of Bloody Clashes between Farmers and Herders*. Abuja: Amnesty International Ltd.
- Amnesty International (2002). *Nigeria: Vigilante Violence in the South and South-east*, Al Index: AFR 44/014/2002.
- Chuma-Okoro, H. (2011) "Proliferation of Small Arms and Light Weapons in Nigeria: Legal Implications" in *Law and Security in Nigeria*.
- Dollard, J., Doob, L. W., Miller, N. E., Mowrer, O. H., & Sears, R. R. (1939). *Frustration and Aggression*. Yale University Press.
- Enor, F. N., Magor, S. E. and Ekpo, C. E. (2019). *Contending Perspectives and Security Implications of Herdsmen Activities in Nigeria*. *International Journal Research GrantHalaayah*, 7(7): pp.265-286.
- Eme, D. I. (2020). *Inter-security agency rivalry as an impediment to national counter-terrorism strategy (NACTEST)*. *Journal of Global Security*, 40 (3), 214-227.

Evaluation of the Nature of Armed Violence and Security Intervention in Benue State, Nigeria –

Iornumbe, D.A.PhD et al

- Ewetan, O. O. (2004). An Examination of the Relationship between Economic Development and Human Capital Formation in Nigeria. *Journal of Social Sciences*, 9(1), 53-6
- Faor, T. T. (2021), A History of the Conflict between Herdsmen and Farmers in the Benue Valley, 1999-2018. Aboki Publishers. Benue State, Nigeria. Pp 80-81
- Galula, David, 1964, *Counterinsurgency Warfare: Theory and Practice*, Praeger Security International, Westport, CT.
<https://www.beyondintractability.org/bksum/galulacounterinsurgency>
- Gbanite, M. (2001). National Security and Intelligence under Democracy. The way forward. New Jersey, U.S.A
- Geneva Declaration Secretariat. (2015). Global Burden of Armed Violence 2015: Every Body Counts. Geneva Declaration Secretariat.
- Harnischfeger, J. (2008). Balance of Terror Rival Militia and Vigilantes in Nigeria. *Afrikanistik Aegyptologie online*.
- Hazen, J. M. and Horner, J. (2007) "Small Arms, Armed Violence, and Insecurity in Nigeria: The Niger Delta in Perspective" The Small Arms Survey Occasional Paper 20.
- Ibrahim, M. (2003) Democracy and the Menace of small Arms of Small Arms proliferation in Nigeria, Lagos: Centre for Democracy and Development.
- Hurley, P. T., Halfacre, A. C., Levine, N. S., & Burke, M. K. (2009). Finding a "disappearing" non-timber forest product: A case study of American ginseng wild harvest estimation. *Economic Botany*, 63(3), 248-259.
- Ikpanor, E. T. and Aondoaver, E. T. (2021). Armed Fulani Herdsmen Activities on the Benue Taraba Boarder: The Resultant Effect on National Security. *Benue Valley Journal of Herder-Farmer Crisis*, Vol.4. ISSN 2736-0423 Pp 1-20
- International Crisis Group (ICG) (2018). Stopping Nigeria's Spirally Farmers-Herders Violence,
<https://www.crisisgroup.org/africa/westafrica/nigeria/262stoppingnigerias-spirallingfarmer-herder-violence> ICG Report 5 July 28.
- Itumo A. and Nwobashi, H. N. (2016) Nigerian State and Responses to Plights of Persons Internally Displaced by Boko Haram Insurgents: Implications for Socio-Economic and Political Development, *Research on humanities and social sciences*, 6, 24-38
- Last, M. (2008). The Search for Security in Muslim Northern Nigeria. *Africa*, 78(1): 41-63.
- Marizu, C. O. (2007). Inter forces harmony. An address delivered by the Air Officer Commanding Logistics Command at the Conference of Military, Police and paramilitary Public Relations Officers Forum (MILPOPPROF), Lagos, 8th February.
- Mbumega, A. & Anaele, C. O. (2019). Inter-agency cooperation: An approach to conflict management and security provisioning in Nigeria. *European Journal of Peace & Security*, 21 (4), 184-199. News Situation Tracking - Nigeria Agro-Pastoralist Conflict vol. 2
- Mohammed, B., Ibrahim, D., and Mallam, H. S., (2021). Understanding the Cultural Orientation and Personality of Pastoral Fulani as a Factor in their Conflicts with Farming Communities in the Benue Area of Nigeria. Aboki Publishers. Benue State, Nigeria. Pp 63-64

- Muggah, H. C. R. (2001). Globalisation and Insecurity: The Direct and Indirect Effects of Small Arms Availability, *IDS Bulletin* Vol 32 No 2 2001
- Nnoli, O. (2003). National Security in Africa: A Radical New Perspective. Enugu: PACREP Publishers.
- Odoma, S. (2014). Superiority struggles and inter-agency feud in Nigeria. *Global Journal of Human, Social Sciences, Sociology and Culture*. 14(5): 33-41.
- Ogunloye, G. (2018). OPWS makes new arrest. *Arise News*, December 18
- Okoli, A. C., and Iortyer, P. (2014). Terrorism and humanitarian crisis in Nigeria: Insights from Boko Haram insurgency. *Global Journal of Human-Social Science (F)*, 14(1), 1.0. Retrieved from https://globaljournals.org/GJHSS_Volume14/6-Terrorism-and-Humanitarian-Crisis-in-Nigeria.pdf
- Olowolagba, R. (2019). Coordinate Joint security operations in Benue gear up. *Daily Post Newspaper*, December 23.
- Omoigui (2006) The Bakassi story available from <<http://www.Omoigui.com>> (Accessed 18t October, 2024).
- Owodage, R. (2018). OWS, armed herdsmen, and militia groups in Benue attacks. *Business Day*. May 18
- World Health Organization, *World Report on Violence and Health*, 2002, p. 10 (hereinafter, "WHO, World Report on Violence and Health").
- Yaro. D.S. & Tseer. T. (2019). Exploring the State of Human Insecurity in Nigeria: The Root Causes of The Farmers-Herdsmen Conflict in Benue State and Its Manifestation on The Livelihood of Rural Farmers and Pastoralists. *ADRRI JOURNALS; VOL. 16, No. 6 (4)*, 2343-6891 www.adrri.or
- Zillmann, D. (1979). *Hostility and aggression*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.