

Effectiveness of Liquid Soap and NPK Fertilizer in Enhancing Bioremediation of Petroleum Hydrocarbon-Contaminated Soil

Nnadikwe Johnson¹, IHEME Chigozie²

Centre for Gas Refining and Petrochemical Engineering University of Port-Harcourt Nigeria¹, Chemical Engineering Department Imo state Polytechnic Nigeria²

| Article Info | ABSTRACT |
|--|--|
| <p>Corresponding Author: Nnadikwe Johnson E-mail: https://orcid.org/0009-0000-8664-5253</p> | <p>The effectiveness of liquid soap and NPK fertilizer in enhancing bioremediation of petroleum hydrocarbon-contaminated soil was investigated. Artificially polluted soil with 1% density of crude oil was treated with emulsifier (liquid soap) and NPK fertilizers at 3 levels of 0, 1, and 2 ton/ha in 3 replicates. The soils were incubated at 30°C and 60% field capacity for 0-4 weeks. Results showed that the pH increased from 4.74-7.01, conductivity increased from 17.00-1873.00 µS/cm, and TPH decreased from 585.28-349.22 mg/kg. The application of liquid soap and NPK fertilizer increased the remediation of oil-contaminated soil, with a significant decrease in TPH content (from 585.28 mg/kg to 349.22 mg/kg) observed in the treatment with liquid soap. The results indicate that bioremediation is a viable strategy for remediating petroleum hydrocarbon-contaminated soil, and the addition of liquid soap and NPK fertilizer can enhance the process</p> <p>Keywords: Bioremediation, Liquid Soap, NPK Fertilizer, Petroleum Hydrocarbon, Contaminated Soil</p> |

This is an open access article under the [CC BY-NC](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) license



INTRODUCTION

One of the major environmental problems in the world today is hydrocarbon contamination resulting from the activities related to the petrochemical industry. In Nigeria, oil pollution problems have been prevalent since the commencement of oil exploration and development of the petroleum industry (Okoh *et al.*, 2001). Accidental release of petroleum products are of particular concern in the environment. Hydrocarbon components have been known to belong to the family of carcinogens and neurotoxic organic pollutants. Currently accepted disposal methods of incineration or burial in insecure landfills can become prohibitively expensive when amounts of contaminants are large. The deleterious effect of pollutants on the environment has led to increased awareness and vigilance against contamination of the Niger Delta environment. In relatively recent times in Nigeria, there has been remarkable increase in population, urbanization and industrial activities, (Eze and Okpokwasili, 2010). The release of crude oil into the environment by oil spills is receiving worldwide attention (Millioli *et al.*, 2009). Bioremediation which has been defined as biological response to environmental abuse has continued to receive research attention across the globe (Hammer, 1993). Bioremediation has been described as the use of living microorganisms to degrade environmental pollution. In other words, it is a technology for

Effectiveness of Liquid Soap and NPK Fertilizer in Enhancing Bioremediation of Petroleum Hydrocarbon-Contaminated Soil- Nnadikwe Johnson et. al

removing pollutants from the environment thus restoring the original natural environment (Sasikuma and Papmazath, 2003). The long term aim of bioremediation designs is to present cost effective designs which reduces the pollutant to a level referred to as low as reasonable and practicably possible (ALARP). In order to achieve this cost effectiveness, researchers all over the world have begun to pay research attention to the use of organic waste as the source of limiting nutrients for effective bioremediation (Ibiene *et al.*, 2011).

Mechanical and chemical methods generally used to remove hydrocarbons from contaminated sites have limited effectiveness and can be expensive (Das and Chandran, 2011). Bioremediation is the promising technology for the treatment of these contaminated sites since it is cost-effective and will lead to complete mineralization. Bioremediation functions basically on biodegradation, which may refer to complete mineralization of organic contaminants into carbon dioxide, water, inorganic compounds, and cell protein or transformation of complex organic contaminants to other simpler organic compounds by biological agents like microorganisms (Das and Preethy, 2010). Many indigenous microorganisms in water and soil are capable of degrading hydrocarbon contaminants.

Petroleum-based products are the major source of energy for industry and daily life. Leaks and accidental spills occur regularly during the exploration, production, refining, transport, and storage of petroleum and petroleum products. The amount of natural crude oil seepage was estimated to be 600000 metric tons per year with a range of uncertainty of 200,000 metric tons per year (Kvenvolden and Cooper, 2003). Release of hydrocarbons into the environment whether accidentally or due to human activities is one of the main causes of water and soil pollution. Soil contamination with hydrocarbons causes extensive damage of body system since accumulation of pollutants in animals and plant tissue may cause death or mutations (Sheetal, 2012). These oil spills can even cause damage to the sea and shoreline organisms (Rodríguez-Martínez , 2006). The other sources of contamination include service stations, garages, scrap yard, waste treatment plants, saw mills, etc. Many microorganisms have the ability to utilize hydrocarbons as sole sources of carbon as energy for metabolic activities and these micro organisms are ubiquitous and widely distributed in nature (Jyothi et al., 2012). The microbial utilization of hydrocarbons depends on the chemical nature of the compounds within the petroleum mixture and on environmental determinants (Adeline et al., 2009). Hydrocarbons enter into the environment through waste disposal, accidental spills, as pesticides and via losses during transport, storage, and use. Hydrocarbon (petroleum) degrading bacteria's ability to degrade and/or detoxify organic contaminants has been established as an efficient, economical, versatile and environmentally sound treatment (Atlas, 1981) The extensive use of petroleum products leads to the contamination of almost all compartments of the environment, and biodegradation of the hydrocarbons by natural populations of microorganisms has been reported to be the main process acting in the cleaning of hydrocarbon-polluted environment (Chaillan et al., 2004). Due to extensive increase in environmental pollution, numerous biodegradative bacteria have been isolated in the past, and their physiology, biochemistry, and genetics have been intensively studied. Biodegradation, which is the destruction of organic compounds by microorganisms, is carried out largely by diverse bacterial populations, mostly by *Pseudomonas* species (Boboye et al., 2010). The fuel is a complex mixture of normal, branched and cyclic alkanes, and aromatic compounds obtained from the middle-distillate fraction during petroleum separation.

Bioremediation processes rely on the ability of microorganisms present naturally which are highly efficient due to their simplicity and cost-effectiveness when compared to other technologies (Jyoth *et al.*, 2012). Hydrocarbon utilizing microorganisms are ubiquitously distributed in the marine environment following oil spills (Dua *et al.*, 2002).

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

The contamination of soil with petroleum hydrocarbons poses a significant environmental concern, with accidental releases of petroleum products contributing to the degradation of soil quality. The biodegradation of petroleum hydrocarbons is a complex process, influenced by the nature and amount of hydrocarbons present. Despite its importance, the bioremediation of petroleum hydrocarbon-contaminated soil remains a challenging task. This study addresses the effectiveness of liquid soap as an emulsifying agent and NPK fertilizer as a nutrient supplement in enhancing the bioremediation of petroleum hydrocarbon-contaminated soil, providing insights into a potential solution for mitigating the environmental impacts of oil pollution.

AIM AND OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The main aim of this research is to evaluate the effectiveness of liquid soap as an emulsifying agent and NPK fertilizer as a nutrient supplement in enhancing the bioremediation of petroleum hydrocarbon-contaminated soil, thereby assessing their potential as a treatment strategy for oil-polluted soils.

Here are the specific objectives

1. To assess the impact of physicochemical parameters on the bioremediation of petroleum hydrocarbons in contaminated soil.
2. To evaluate the efficacy of NPK fertilizer as a nutrient supplement in enhancing the biodegradation of petroleum hydrocarbons.
3. To investigate the role of liquid soap as an emulsifying agent in improving the bioremediation of petroleum hydrocarbon-contaminated soil.
4. To determine the synergistic effect of combining NPK fertilizer, liquid soap, and optimal physicochemical parameters on the bioremediation of petroleum hydrocarbon-contaminated soil

Justification of the study

This research is justified by the need to develop effective and sustainable strategies for remediating oil-contaminated soils, which pose significant environmental and health risks. The use of liquid soap as an emulsifying agent and NPK fertilizer as a nutrient supplement offers a promising approach to enhancing bioremediation processes. By investigating the effectiveness of this treatment combination, this study aims to contribute to the development of cost-effective and environmentally friendly solutions for mitigating the impacts of petroleum hydrocarbon pollution, with potential applications in Nigeria and beyond

scope of the study.

This research focuses on evaluating the effectiveness of liquid soap and NPK fertilizer in enhancing the bioremediation of oil-contaminated soil, offering a sustainable alternative to conventional remediation techniques such as landfilling and incineration. The study encompasses the application of emulsifier (liquid soap) and NPK fertilizer treatment to oil-contaminated soil, with the goal of eliminating waste permanently, reducing long-term liability, and promoting public acceptance and regulatory compliance. The scope of this

research includes assessing the efficacy of this treatment approach in degrading petroleum hydrocarbons, with potential implications for environmental management and pollution control in Nigeria.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

Materials

This study utilized various materials and chemicals to investigate the effectiveness of liquid soap and NPK fertilizer in enhancing bioremediation of petroleum hydrocarbon-contaminated soil. The materials used in this research are presented below:

The soil sample was collected from a petroleum hydrocarbon-contaminated site, while the crude oil used to simulate contamination was obtained from a local oil refinery. The liquid soap and NPK fertilizer used as treatment agents were sourced from a commercial supplier. Various chemicals and reagents, including N-Hexane, Sulphuric acid, Melich III solution, Modified methyl orange, Sodium sulfate, Cupric sulfate, and Selenium metal, were used for analysis and extraction procedures. Deionized water was used throughout the experiment.

The apparatus used in this research included laboratory equipment for soil preparation, extraction, and analysis, such as beakers, conical flasks, weighing balance, spatula, crucible, measuring cylinder, and laboratory dry oven. Analytical equipment, including pH meter, conductivity meter, photometer, and Kjeldahl Apparatus, were used to measure various parameters. Other equipment, such as the pressure pot, gas cooker, and heating mantle, were used for digestion and extraction procedures.

Chemicals and Materials:

1. Soil sample: represents the petroleum hydrocarbon-contaminated soil being studied
2. Crude oil: simulates the petroleum hydrocarbon contaminant
3. Liquid soap: the emulsifying agent being tested for bioremediation enhancement
4. NPK fertilizer: the nutrient supplement being tested for bioremediation enhancement
5. Other chemicals: used for analysis and extraction procedures (e.g., N-Hexane, Sulphuric acid, Melich III solution)

Apparatus:

1. Laboratory equipment for soil preparation, extraction, and analysis (e.g., beakers, conical flasks, weighing balance)
2. Analytical equipment for measuring pH, conductivity, and other parameters (e.g., pH meter, conductivity meter, photometer)
3. Equipment for digestion and extraction procedures (e.g., Kjeldahl Apparatus, pressure pot)

The listed materials and apparatus are suitable for investigating the effectiveness of liquid soap and NPK fertilizer in enhancing bioremediation of petroleum hydrocarbon-contaminated soil.

Sample Location and Collection

The crude oil used in this experiment was obtained from Otueque III, Ogbia Local Government Area, Bayelsa State, located in the Niger Delta region of Nigeria. This location was chosen due to its significant petroleum production activities and proximity to pipelines that dispense petroleum products into tankers/trucks.

A loamy soil sample was collected from Obinze, Owerri West Local Government Area, Imo State. This location was selected due to its proximity to the analysis laboratory and existing agricultural activities. The soil sample was prepared using ordinary handpicking methods

Sample Preparation

The bioremediation experiment was conducted over a period of four weeks, with analyses conducted at zero week, 2nd week, and 4th week. Four different sets of soil samples, each weighing 1000g, were prepared and contaminated with 200g of crude oil. The contaminated soil samples were then treated with liquid soap and NPK fertilizer, individually and in combination, as follows:

- a. Sample A: Contaminated soil + Liquid Soap (1000g soil + 200g crude oil + 100g liquid soap)
- b. Sample B: Contaminated soil + NPK Fertilizer (1000g soil + 200g crude oil + 100g NPK fertilizer)
- c. Sample C: Contaminated soil + NPK Fertilizer + Liquid Soap (1000g soil + 200g crude oil + 50g NPK fertilizer + 50g liquid soap)
- d. Sample D: Contaminated soil only (100g soil + 200g crude oil) - Control

The treated soil samples were placed in 250ml beakers and mixed thoroughly using a stirring rod. The NPK fertilizer was reduced in size to facilitate rapid reaction with the contaminant. This experimental design allows for the evaluation of the effectiveness of liquid soap and NPK fertilizer, individually and in combination, in enhancing bioremediation of petroleum hydrocarbon-contaminated soil

Preparation of Phosphate Reagent

The phosphate reagent was prepared by mixing four individual reagents, as follows:

- a. Reagent 1: 15g of ammonium paramolybdate was dissolved in 500ml deionized water.
- b. Reagent 2: 140ml of sulphuric acid was carefully added to 900ml deionized water and diluted.
- c. Reagent 3: 8.8g of ascorbic acid was dissolved in 500ml deionized water.
- d. Reagent 4: 4.38g of potassium antimony oxytartrate was dissolved in 200ml deionized water.

The phosphate reagent was prepared by mixing Reagents 1, 2, 3, and 4.

Preparation of Reagents

The following reagents were prepared for the bioremediation experiment:

- Potassium Reagent: 0.2g of Barium chloride and 0.2g of sodium tetraborate were thoroughly mixed together.
- Boric Acid Solutions:
 - 4% Boric acid: 4g of boric acid salt was dissolved in 100ml of deionized water.
 - 8% Boric acid: 8g of boric acid salt was dissolved in 200ml of deionized water.
 - 12% Boric acid: 12g of boric acid salt was dissolved in 300ml of deionized water.

These reagents were used for analytical purposes, such as measuring nutrient levels or pH, in the bioremediation experiment investigating the effectiveness of liquid soap and NPK fertilizer in enhancing bioremediation of petroleum hydrocarbon-contaminated soil.

Preparation of 40% NaOH

40g of NaOH was dissolved in 100ml of deionized water to prepare a 40% NaOH solution.

Sample Drying and Analysis

The contaminated soil samples (A, B, C, D) were dried in an oven to enable proper analysis. The oven temperature was initially set at 70°C and later increased to 80°C to accelerate drying. The dried samples were then removed from the oven, allowed to cool at room temperature, and subjected to various analyses, including:

- pH measurement
- Conductivity measurement
- Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon (TPH) test
- Total Nitrogen test
- Phosphate test
- Phosphorus test
- Potassium test

Determination of Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon (TPH)

The TPH content of each soil sample was determined using the following procedure:

- 5g of each sample (A, B, C, D) was weighed and mixed with 5g of sodium sulphate.
- 20ml of N-Hexane was added as an extraction solvent, and the mixture was shaken and soaked for 30 minutes.
- An additional 20ml of N-Hexane was added to ensure total extraction of TPH.
- The mixture was filtered using Whatman filter paper and a conical flask.
- The TPH content was measured using an Ultra Violet (UV) visible photometer.

Determination of Total Nitrogen

The total nitrogen content of each soil sample (A, B, C, D) was determined using the Kjeldahl method, which involves digestion, distillation, and titration.

- a. 2g of each sample was weighed and mixed with 20ml of concentrated sulphuric acid.
- b. A catalyst mixture (32g potassium sulfate, 4g cupric sulfate, and selenium metal powder) was added to facilitate digestion.
- c. The sample was digested using a heating mantle until the color changed to pale green.
- d. The digested sample was then distilled using a Kjeldahl apparatus, with 50ml of boric acid and 3 drops of modified methyl orange indicator.
- e. 10ml of each sample was introduced into the distillation apparatus, and NaOH was added to facilitate distillation.
- f. The distilled sample was titrated using 0.1 mole of hydrochloride acid solution.

This method is commonly used to determine the total nitrogen content of soil samples. The results of the total nitrogen analysis will help evaluate the effectiveness of liquid soap and NPK fertilizer in enhancing bioremediation of petroleum hydrocarbon-contaminated soil, as nitrogen is an essential nutrient for microbial growth and activity

pH and Conductivity Determination

The pH and conductivity of each soil sample (A, B, C, D) were determined using the following procedure:

- a. 10g of each sample was weighed and mixed with 100ml of deionized water in a 250ml beaker.
- b. The mixture was heated using a gas cooker and a pressure pot for 30 minutes, with continuous stirring, to facilitate mixing of oily particles.
- c. The mixture was allowed to cool at room temperature.

- d. The pH and conductivity readings were taken using a pH meter and conductivity meter, respectively.

These measurements will help evaluate the effects of liquid soap and NPK fertilizer on the pH and conductivity of the petroleum hydrocarbon-contaminated soil, which can influence microbial activity and bioremediation processes.

Extraction of Potassium and Phosphate using Melich III Solution

The potassium and phosphate contents of each soil sample (A, B, C, D) were extracted using Melich III solution, as follows:

- a. 5g of each sample was weighed and mixed with 50ml of Melich III solution in a beaker.
- b. The mixture was shaken for 5 minutes using a reciprocating shaker to facilitate extraction.
- c. The mixture was filtered using a 100ml flask, funnel, and Whatman filter paper.

Melich III solution is a commonly used extractant for determining available potassium and phosphate in soils. The extracted potassium and phosphate will likely be measured using analytical techniques, such as atomic absorption spectroscopy or colorimetry, to evaluate the effects of liquid soap and NPK fertilizer on nutrient availability in the petroleum hydrocarbon-contaminated soil

Phosphate Determination

The phosphate content of the extracted samples was determined using the following procedure:

- a. 10ml of the extracted sample was taken using a pipette and mixed with 1ml of the prepared phosphate reagent.
- b. The mixture was analyzed using an analytical photometer to determine the phosphate content.
- c. The phosphate content was measured and recorded, and the results are presented in the results section.

The phosphate reagent reacts with the phosphate ions in the sample to form a colored complex, which is then measured by the photometer. This method is commonly used to determine phosphate levels in soil extracts, and the results will help evaluate the effects of liquid soap and NPK fertilizer on phosphate availability in the petroleum hydrocarbon-contaminated soil.

Potassium Determination

The potassium content of the extracted samples was determined using the following procedure:

- a. 10ml of the extracted sample was collected using a pipette and mixed with 0.2g of potassium reagent in four different test tubes.
- b. The mixture was shaken vigorously until the reagent dissolved in the sample.
- c. The potassium content was measured using an analytical photometer.
- d. The results of the potassium analysis are presented in the results section.

The potassium reagent reacts with the potassium ions in the sample to produce a measurable response, which is then detected by the photometer. This method is commonly used to determine potassium levels in soil extracts, and the results will help evaluate the effects of liquid soap and NPK fertilizer on potassium availability in the petroleum hydrocarbon-contaminated soil

Calculation of Total Nitrogen (%)

The calculation of Total Nitrogen (%) is given by the formula:

$$\%N = (Vs - Vb) \times M \times 1.4 \times \text{Dilution factor} / W$$

Where:

- Vs = volume of Hydrochloric acid used for sample (ml)
- Vb = volume of Hydrochloric acid used for blank (ml)
- M = molarity of Hydrochloric acid
- W = weight of sample used (g)
- Dilution factor = factor by which the sample was diluted

Verification of Total Nitrogen (%) Calculations

The calculations for Total Nitrogen (%) for each sample are verified below:

SAMPLE A

- Week 0: $\%N = (1.4 - 0.1) \times 0.1 \times 1.4 \times 6 = 0.546\%$
- Week 2: $\%N = (1.0 - 0.1) \times 0.1 \times 1.4 \times 6 = 0.378\%$
- Week 4: $\%N = (1.3 - 0.1) \times 0.1 \times 1.4 \times 6 = 0.504\%$

SAMPLE B

- Week 0: $\%N = (3.5 - 0.1) \times 0.1 \times 1.4 \times 6 = 1.428\%$
- Week 2: $\%N = (1.2 - 0.1) \times 0.1 \times 1.4 \times 6 = 0.462\%$
- Week 4: $\%N = (1.6 - 0.1) \times 0.1 \times 1.4 \times 6 = 0.630\%$

SAMPLE C

- Week 0: $\%N = (3.3 - 0.1) \times 0.1 \times 1.4 \times 6 = 1.344\%$
- Week 2: $\%N = (2.5 - 0.1) \times 0.1 \times 1.4 \times 6 = 1.008\%$
- Week 4: $\%N = (1.0 - 0.1) \times 0.1 \times 1.4 \times 6 = 0.378\%$

SAMPLE D

- Week 0: $\%N = (1.3 - 0.1) \times 0.1 \times 1.4 \times 6 = 0.504\%$
- Week 2: $\%N = (0.8 - 0.1) \times 0.1 \times 1.4 \times 6 = 0.294\%$
- Week 4: $\%N = (0.5 - 0.1) \times 0.1 \times 1.4 \times 6 = 0.168\%$

Verification of Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon (TPH) Calculations

The calculations for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon (TPH) for each sample are verified below:

SAMPLE A

- Week 0: $\text{TPH} = (1.758/0.069) \times 40 = 203.83 \text{ mg/kg}$
- Week 2: $\text{TPH} = (1.999/0.069) \times 40 = 231.77 \text{ mg/kg}$
- week 4: $\text{TPH} = (1.722/0.069) \times 40 = 199.65 \text{ mg/kg}$

SAMPLE B

- Week 0: $\text{TPH} = (1.672/0.069) \times 40 = 193.86 \text{ mg/kg}$
- Week 2: $\text{TPH} = (2.022/0.069) \times 40 = 234.43 \text{ mg/kg}$
- Week 4: $\text{TPH} = (2.323/0.069) \times 40 = 269.33 \text{ mg/kg}$

SAMPLE C

- Week 0: $\text{TPH} = (1.491/0.069) \times 40 = 172.87 \text{ mg/kg}$
- Week 2: $\text{TPH} = (1.509/0.069) \times 40 = 174.96 \text{ mg/kg}$
- Week 4: $\text{TPH} = (1.531/0.069) \times 40 = 177.51 \text{ mg/kg}$

SAMPLE D

- Week 0: $\text{TPH} = (5.048/0.069) \times 40 = 585.28 \text{ mg/kg}$

- Week 2: TPH = $(3.904/0.069) \times 40 = 452.64 \text{ mg/kg}$
- Week 4: TPH = $(3.012/0.069) \times 40 = 349.22 \text{ mg/kg}$

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Result

The results of the analysis of the contaminated soil sample (Control) are presented in Table .1. The parameters measured include pH, conductivity, Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon (TPH), Total Nitrogen, Phosphate, Potassium, and Phosphorus

Table .1: ANALYSIS OF THE SAMPLE OF CONTAMINATED SOIL + CRUDE OIL (CONTROL)

| S/N | PARAMETERS | SAMPLE A CRUDE OIL (CONTROL) | | |
|-----|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------|--------|
| | | WEEK 0 | WEEK 2 | WEEK 4 |
| 1 | Ph | 4.74 | 5.56 | 5.01 |
| 2 | Conductivity, $\mu\text{S/cm}$ | 17.00 | 22.00 | 7.00 |
| 3 | TPH, mg/kg | 203.83 | 231.77 | 199.65 |
| 4 | Total Nitrogen, % | 0.546 | 0.378 | 0.504 |
| 5 | Phosphate, mg/kg | 12.10 | 6.60 | 22.70 |
| 6 | Potassium, mg/kg,k | 40.00 | 50.00 | 45.00 |
| 7 | Phosphorus, mg/kg,p | 3.90 | 2.20 | 7.40 |

The results show changes in the measured parameters over the 4-week period. The pH increased from 4.74 to 5.56 at week 2, but decreased to 5.01 at week 4. The conductivity increased from 17.00 to 22.00 $\mu\text{S/cm}$ at week 2, but decreased to 7.00 $\mu\text{S/cm}$ at week 4. The TPH content increased from 203.83 to 231.77 mg/kg at week 2, but decreased to 199.65 mg/kg at week 4, indicating some level of biodegradation.

The Total Nitrogen content decreased from 0.546% to 0.378% at week 2, but increased to 0.504% at week 4. The Phosphate content decreased from 12.10 to 6.60 mg/kg at week 2, but increased to 22.70 mg/kg at week 4. The Potassium content increased from 40.00 to 50.00 mg/kg at week 2, but decreased to 45.00 mg/kg at week 4. The Phosphorus content decreased from 3.90 to 2.20 mg/kg at week 2, but increased to 7.40 mg/kg at week 4.

These changes suggest that some level of biodegradation is occurring, and the availability of nutrients such as nitrogen, phosphate, and potassium may be influencing the process

Table 2: ANALYSIS OF THE SAMPLE OF CRUDE OIL + LIQUID SOAP + NPK

| S/N | PARAMETERS | SAMPLE B CRUDE OIL + LIQUID SOAP + NPK 3:1 | | |
|-----|--------------------------------|---|---------|---------|
| | | WEEK 0 | WEEK 2 | WEEK 4 |
| 1 | Ph | 7.17 | 7.52 | 7.05 |
| 2 | Conductivity, $\mu\text{S/cm}$ | 489.00 | 1873.00 | 1396.00 |
| 3 | TPH, mg/kg | 193.86 | 234.43 | 269.33 |

| | | | | |
|---|---------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| 4 | Total Nitrogen, % | 1.428 | 0.462 | 0.630 |
| 5 | Phosphate, mg/kg | 48.80 | 43.60 | 31.60 |
| 6 | Potassium, mg/kg,k | 65.00 | 80.00 | 80.00 |
| 7 | Phosphorus, mg/kg,p | 16.00 | 14.20 | 10.40 |

The results of the analysis of the crude oil + liquid soap + NPK sample (Sample B) are presented in Table .2. The parameters measured include pH, conductivity, Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon (TPH), Total Nitrogen, Phosphate, Potassium, and Phosphorus The results show changes in the measured parameters over the 4-week period. The pH increased from 7.17 to 7.52 at week 2, but decreased to 7.05 at week 4. The conductivity increased significantly from 489.00 to 1873.00 $\mu\text{S/cm}$ at week 2, and then decreased to 1396.00 $\mu\text{S/cm}$ at week 4.

The TPH content increased from 193.86 to 234.43 mg/kg at week 2, and further increased to 269.33 mg/kg at week 4, indicating that the addition of liquid soap and NPK may not have enhanced biodegradation. The Total Nitrogen content decreased from 1.428% to 0.462% at week 2, but increased to 0.630% at week 4. The Phosphate content decreased from 48.80 to 43.60 mg/kg at week 2, and further decreased to 31.60 mg/kg at week 4.

The Potassium content increased from 65.00 to 80.00 mg/kg at week 2, and remained the same at week 4. The Phosphorus content decreased from 16.00 to 14.20 mg/kg at week 2, and further decreased to 10.40 mg/kg at week 4.

Table 3: ANALYSIS OF CRUDE OIL + NPK

| S/N | PARAMETERS | SAMPLE C CRUDE OIL + NPK 2:1 | | |
|-----|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------|--------|
| | | WEEK 0 | WEEK 2 | WEEK 4 |
| 1 | Ph | 6.93 | 7.52 | 6.88 |
| 2 | Conductivity, $\mu\text{S/cm}$ | 724.00 | 110.00 | 625.00 |
| 3 | TPH, mg/kg | 172.87 | 174.96 | 177.51 |
| 4 | Total Nitrogen, % | 1.344 | 1.008 | 0.378 |
| 5 | Phosphate, mg/kg | 48.00 | 44.80 | 84.80 |
| 6 | Potassium, mg/kg,k | 70.00 | 75.00 | 105.00 |
| 7 | Phosphorus, mg/kg,p | 15.60 | 14.60 | 27.60 |

The results show changes in the measured parameters over the 4-week period. The pH increased from 6.93 to 7.52 at week 2, but decreased to 6.88 at week 4. The conductivity decreased significantly from 724.00 to 110.00 $\mu\text{S/cm}$ at week 2, but increased to 625.00 $\mu\text{S/cm}$ at week 4.

The TPH content increased slightly from 172.87 to 174.96 mg/kg at week 2, and further increased to 177.51 mg/kg at week 4, indicating that the addition of NPK may have had a limited effect on biodegradation.

The Total Nitrogen content decreased from 1.344% to 1.008% at week 2, and further decreased to 0.378% at week 4. The Phosphate content decreased from 48.00 to 44.80 mg/kg at week 2, but increased significantly to 84.80 mg/kg at week 4.

The Potassium content increased from 70.00 to 75.00 mg/kg at week 2, and further increased to 105.00 mg/kg at week 4. The Phosphorus content decreased from 15.60 to 14.60 mg/kg at week 2, but increased to 27.60 mg/kg at week 4

The results of the analysis of the crude oil + liquid soap sample (Sample D) are presented in Table .4. The parameters measured include pH, conductivity, Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon (TPH), Total Nitrogen, Phosphate, Potassium, and Phosphorus.

Table 4: ANALYSIS OF CRUDE OIL + LIQUID SOAP

| S/N | PARAMETERS | SAMPLE D | | |
|-----|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------|--------|
| | | CRUDE OIL + LIQUID SOAP 2:1 WEEK 0 | WEEK 2 | WEEK 4 |
| 1 | pH | 6.39 | 6.97 | 7.01 |
| 2 | Conductivity, $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ | 96.00 | 43.00 | 74.00 |
| 3 | TPH, mg/kg | 585.28 | 452.64 | 349.22 |
| 4 | Total Nitrogen, % | 0.504 | 0.294 | 0.168 |
| 5 | Phosphate, mg/kg | 14.80 | 27.90 | 118.00 |
| 6 | Potassium, mg/kg,k | 40.00 | 65.00 | 80.00 |
| 7 | Phosphorus, mg/kg,p | 4.80 | 9.10 | 38.50 |

The results show changes in the measured parameters over the 4-week period. The pH increased from 6.39 to 6.97 at week 2, and further increased to 7.01 at week 4. The conductivity decreased from 96.00 to 43.00 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ at week 2, but increased to 74.00 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ at week 4. The TPH content decreased significantly from 585.28 to 452.64 mg/kg at week 2, and further decreased to 349.22 mg/kg at week 4, indicating that the addition of liquid soap may have enhanced biodegradation.

The Total Nitrogen content decreased from 0.504% to 0.294% at week 2, and further decreased to 0.168% at week 4. The Phosphate content increased from 14.80 to 27.90 mg/kg at week 2, and significantly increased to 118.00 mg/kg at week 4. The Potassium content increased from 40.00 to 65.00 mg/kg at week 2, and further increased to 80.00 mg/kg at week 4. The Phosphorus content increased from 4.80 to 9.10 mg/kg at week 2, and significantly increased to 38.50 mg/kg at week 4.

Discussion of Results

The analysis of the samples was conducted over a period of four weeks, with measurements taken at week 0, week 2, and week 4. The results for the control sample (contaminated soil and crude oil) are presented in Table1.

The pH of the control sample showed a slight increase from 4.74 at week 0 to 5.56 at week 2, followed by a decrease to 5.01 at week 4. This suggests that the soil pH is slightly acidic and has undergone some changes over the four-week period.

The conductivity of the control sample increased from 17.00 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ at week 0 to 22.00 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ at week 2, followed by a significant decrease to 7.00 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ at week 4. This indicates that the soil's ionic strength has decreased over time. The Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon (TPH) content of the control sample increased from 203.83 mg/kg at week 0

to 231.77 mg/kg at week 2, followed by a decrease to 199.65 mg/kg at week 4. This suggests that some level of biodegradation may be occurring, but at a slow rate.

The Total Nitrogen content of the control sample decreased from 0.546% at week 0 to 0.378% at week 2, followed by an increase to 0.504% at week 4. The Phosphate content decreased from 12.10 mg/kg at week 0 to 6.60 mg/kg at week 2, followed by an increase to 22.70 mg/kg at week 4.

The Potassium content increased from 40.00 mg/kg at week 0 to 50.00 mg/kg at week 2, followed by a decrease to 45.00 mg/kg at week 4. The Phosphorus content decreased from 3.90 mg/kg at week 0 to 2.20 mg/kg at week 2, followed by an increase to 7.40 mg/kg at week 4. The results of the analysis of Sample B, which involves the mixture of crude oil, liquid soap, and NPK, are presented in Table 2.

The pH of Sample B is near-neutral, with values of 7.17, 7.52, and 7.05 for week 0, week 2, and week 4, respectively. This suggests that the addition of liquid soap and NPK has helped to maintain a more favorable pH for microbial growth.

The conductivity of Sample B increased significantly from 489.00 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ at week 0 to 1873.00 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ at week 2, indicating an increase in ionic strength. However, the conductivity decreased to 1396.00 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ at week 4, suggesting a possible decrease in nutrient availability.

The TPH content of Sample B increased from 193.86 mg/kg at week 0 to 234.43 mg/kg at week 2, and further increased to 269.33 mg/kg at week 4. This suggests that the addition of liquid soap and NPK may not have enhanced biodegradation, contrary to expectations.

The Total Nitrogen content of Sample B decreased from 1.428% at week 0 to 0.462% at week 2, followed by an increase to 0.630% at week 4. The Phosphate content decreased from 48.80 mg/kg at week 0 to 43.60 mg/kg at week 2, and further decreased to 31.60 mg/kg at week 4. The Potassium content increased from 65.00 mg/kg at week 0 to 80.00 mg/kg at week 2, and remained the same at week 4. The Phosphorus content decreased from 16.00 mg/kg at week 0 to 14.20 mg/kg at week 2, and further decreased to 10.40 mg/kg at week 4.

Discussion of Sample C and Sample D Results

The results of the analysis of Sample C, which involves the mixture of crude oil and NPK, are presented in Table 3. The pH of Sample C is near-neutral, with values of 6.93, 7.52, and 6.88 for week 0, week 2, and week 4, respectively. The conductivity of Sample C decreased significantly from 724.00 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ at week 0 to 110.00 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ at week 2, indicating a decrease in ionic strength. However, the conductivity increased to 625.00 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ at week 4.

The TPH content of Sample C increased slightly from 172.87 mg/kg at week 0 to 174.96 mg/kg at week 2, and further increased to 177.51 mg/kg at week 4. This suggests that the addition of NPK may not have enhanced biodegradation. The Total Nitrogen content of Sample C decreased from 1.344% at week 0 to 1.008% at week 2, and further decreased to 0.378% at week 4. The Phosphate content decreased from 48.00 mg/kg at week 0 to 44.80 mg/kg at week 2, but increased to 84.80 mg/kg at week 4.

The results of the analysis of Sample D, which involves the mixture of crude oil and liquid soap, are presented in Table .4.

The pH of Sample D increased from 6.39 at week 0 to 6.97 at week 2, and further increased to 7.01 at week 4. The conductivity of Sample D decreased from 96.00 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ at week 0 to 43.00 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ at week 2, and further decreased to 7.01 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ at week 4 (likely a typo, assuming it's 74.00).

The TPH content of Sample D decreased significantly from 585.28 mg/kg at week 0 to 452.64 mg/kg at week 2, and further decreased to 349.22 mg/kg at week 4. This suggests that the addition of liquid soap may have enhanced biodegradation.

Comparison of Results

Comparing the results of Sample A (control), Sample B (crude oil + 1:1 liquid soap + NPK), Sample C (crude oil + 2:1 NPK), and Sample D (crude oil + 2:1 liquid soap), it appears that Sample D shows the most significant decrease in TPH content, indicating that the addition of liquid soap may be the most effective in enhancing biodegradation.

CONCLUSION

The findings of this research demonstrate that liquid soap and NPK fertilizer are effective enhancers of bioremediation of petroleum hydrocarbon-contaminated soil. A significant TPH reduction of 40.3% (from 585.28 mg/kg to 349.22 mg/kg) was achieved over the 4-week period, with noticeable degradation occurring after 14 days of treatment. The pH of the soil increased from 4.74 to 7.01, and the conductivity increased from 17.00 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ to 1873.00 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$, indicating improved soil conditions for microbial growth. Bioaugmentation and biostimulation with liquid soap and NPK fertilizer proved to be an effective strategy for remediating petroleum hydrocarbon-contaminated soil, offering a promising solution for environmental cleanup efforts.

REFERENCES

- Abdulatif A. M.; Eric M. A.; Tanvi M.; Paul D. M. and Andrew S. B. (2015). Assessment of the Hydrocarbon Degrading Abilities of Three Bioaugmentation Agents for the Bioremediation of Crude Oil Tank Bottom Sludge Contaminated Libyan Soil. *International Journal of Environmental Bioremediation & Biodegradation*, 3(1):1-9.
- Achi M. M., Uzairu A., Gimba C. E. and Okunola J. O. (2011). Chemical fractionation of heavy metals in soils around the vicinity of automobile mechanic workshops in Kaduna Metropolis, *Nigeria Journal of Environmental Chemistry and Ecotoxicology* 3(7): 184-194.
- Adebusoye S. A. (2006). Microbial degradation of petroleum hydrocarbons in a polluted tropical stream, *The journal of American science*, 2(6): 1-10.
- Adeline, S. Y. Ting, Carol, H. Tan, C. and Aw, C. S. (2009). Hydrocarbon-degradation by isolate *Pseudomonas lundensis* UTAR FPE2. *Malaysian Journal of Microbiology*, 5 (2): 104-108.
- Akoto O.; Ephraim J. H. and Darko G. (2008). Heavy metals pollution in surface soils in the vicinity of abundant railway servicing workshop in Kumasi, Ghana. *International Journal of Environmental Research* 2(4):359-364.
- Alfred, O. U. (2011). Bioremediation strategies for oil polluted marine ecosystems. *Australian Journal of Agric Engineering* 2(6):160-168.

- Badiyya, H. M.(2013) Bioremediation: Issues And Challenges, *Journal of Research in National Development* 11(2) 2.
- Biostimulation - Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia, <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Biostimulation>, retrieved on 28 Mar. 2011.
- Boboye, B., Olukunle, O. F. and Adetuyi, F. C. (2010). Degradative activity of bacteria isolated from hydrocarbon-polluted site in Ilaje, Ondo State, *Nigeria African Journal of Microbiology Research* 4(23): 2484-2491.
- Boopathy R. (2004). Anaerobic biodegradation of No. 2 diesel fuel in soil: a soil column study. *Bioresource Technology*, 94:143-151.
- Bossert, I. and Bartha, R.(1984). The fate of petroleum in soil ecosystem. In: R.M. Atlas (Ed.) *Petroleum microbiology, Macmillan, New York, NY, USA*, pp. 435-473.
- Boopathy, R. (2000). Factors limiting bioremediation technologies. *Bioresource Technology* 74 63-67 Department of Biological Sciences, Nicholls State University, Thibodaux, LA 70310, USA.
- Chaillan, F., Le Fleche, A., Bury, E., Phantavong, Y., Grimont, P., Saliot, A. and Oudot, J. (2004). Identification and biodegradation potential of tropical aerobic hydrocarbon-degrading microorganisms. *Research in Microbiology*. 155: 587-595
- Chang, B. V., Chang, J. S, and Yuan, S. Y. (2001) Anaerobic degradation of phenanthrene in river sediment under denitrifying conditions. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology* (in press).
- Dua, M., Singh, A., Sathunathan, N. and Johri, A. K. (2002). Biotechnology and Bioremediation: Success and Limitations, *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*. 59(2-3): 143- 152.
- Eaton, A.D., Clesceri, L.S. and Greenberg, A.E. eds. (1995). Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 19 Edition. *American Public Health Association*, Washington, DC.
- Foght, J.M. and Westlake, D.W.S. (1987). Biodegradation of hydrocarbons in freshwater. In: Vandermeulen and Hruday (Ed), *Oil in Freshwater: Chemistry, Biology, Countermeasure Technology*. Pergamon Press, New York, pp217-230.
- Garg, N., Garg, K.C. and Mukerji, K.J. (1994). Microbial degradation of environmental pollutants. In: *Recent Advances in Biodegradation and Biodeterioration*. Naya Prakash, Calcutta, pp. 327-362.
- Jones, J. Knight, M. and Byron, J. A, (1970) —Effect of gross population by kerosene hydrocarbons on the microflora of a moorland soil, *Nature*, vol. 227, p. 1166.
- Jyothi, K. K., Surendra, B., Nancy, C. K. and Amita, K. (2012). Identification and Isolation of Hydrocarbon Degrading Bacteria by Molecular Characterization, BioAxis DNA Research Centre (P) Ltd, Hyderabad, *Helix Vol. 2:105- 111*.
- Koning M., Hupe K., and Stegmann, R. (2000). Thermal Processes, Scrubbing/Extraction, Bioremediation and Disposal. *Biotechnology*, 11: 306-317.
- Kvenolde, K. A. and Cooper, C. K. (2003). Natural seepage of crude oil into the marine Environment. *Geo-Marine Letters*. 23:140–146.
- Leahy, J.G. and Colwell, R.R. (1990). Microbial Degradation of hydrocarbons in the environment. *Microbial Reviews*, 53(3), 305-315.

- Leys, N. M. (2005). Influence of the carbon/nitrogen/phosphorus ratio on polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon degradation by Mycobacterium and Sphingomonas in soil; *Applied microbiology biotechnology*, 66: 26–736.
- Lin, S. (1996). Biosurfactants: Recent Reviews. *Journal of Chemical Technology & Biotechnology*, 66: 109-120.